



## *5. The Expenditure Function*



*We define the expenditure function as the minimum - value function*

$$e(\mathbf{p}, u) \equiv \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} \quad \text{s.t.} \quad u(\mathbf{x}) \geq u$$

$$e(\mathbf{p}, u) = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x}^h(\mathbf{p}, u)$$

$$\mathbf{x}^* \equiv \mathbf{x}^h(\mathbf{p}, u)$$

*(compensated demand functions)*



## *Properties of the Expenditure Function*

- *Increasing in  $\mathbf{p}$*
- *Homogeneous of degree 1 in  $\mathbf{p}$*
- *Concave in  $\mathbf{p}$*
- *Shephard's lemma*

$$\frac{\partial e(\mathbf{p}^0, u^0)}{\partial p_i} = x_i^h(\mathbf{p}^0, u^0), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$



## *Proof*

*The Lagrangian for this problem is*

$$L(\mathbf{x}, \lambda) = \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \lambda[u(\mathbf{x}) - u]$$

*So, by Lagrange's theorem, there is a  $\lambda^*$  such that*

$$\frac{\partial L(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*)}{\partial x_i} = p_i - \lambda^* \frac{\partial u(\mathbf{x}^*)}{\partial x_i} = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

*By the Envelope theorem*

$$\frac{\partial e(\mathbf{p}, u)}{\partial u} = \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*)}{\partial u} = \lambda^* > 0$$



Let  $\mathbf{p} \equiv t\mathbf{p}^1 + (1-t)\mathbf{p}^2$  for  $\forall t \in [0,1]$

Then the expenditure function will be concave  
in  $\mathbf{p}$  if

$$te(\mathbf{p}^1, u) + (1-t)e(\mathbf{p}^2, u) \leq e(\mathbf{p}^t, u)$$

Suppose  $\mathbf{x}^1 \equiv \mathbf{x}^h(\mathbf{p}^1, u)$

$$\mathbf{x}^2 \equiv \mathbf{x}^h(\mathbf{p}^2, u)$$

$$\mathbf{x}^* \equiv \mathbf{x}^h(\mathbf{p}^*, u)$$

Then  $\mathbf{p}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}^1 \leq \mathbf{p}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}^*$ ,  $\mathbf{p}^2 \cdot \mathbf{x}^2 \leq \mathbf{p}^2 \cdot \mathbf{x}^*$

So  $\mathbf{p}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}^1 \leq \mathbf{p}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}^*$ ,  $\mathbf{p}^2 \cdot \mathbf{x}^2 \leq \mathbf{p}^2 \cdot \mathbf{x}^*$

$$t\mathbf{p}^1 \cdot \mathbf{x}^1 + (1-t)\mathbf{p}^2 \cdot \mathbf{x}^2 \leq \mathbf{p}^t \cdot \mathbf{x}^*$$



*It tells us that*

$$te(\mathbf{p}^1, u) + (1-t)e(\mathbf{p}^2, u) \leq e(\mathbf{p}^t, u), \forall t \in [0, 1]$$

*By the Envelope theorem*

$$\frac{\partial e(\mathbf{p}, u)}{\partial p_i} = \frac{\partial L(\mathbf{x}^*, \lambda^*)}{\partial p_i} = x_i^* \equiv x_i^h(\mathbf{p}, u)$$