

Chapter 8

Transparency and opacity
with rules and constraints



1

Rules

- Underlying or input form (phonology)
- Surface or output form (phonetics)
- Examples of rules?
- If more rules, then they could be ordered
 - We actually already saw this in English plural (bush+es)
- E.g. handball in English
 - what rules could apply? order?

2

Rule ordering

- /bush + z/
 - first: i-insertion [bush + ez]
 - second: voice assimilation d.n.a.
 - opposite order: wrong result
- /hand + ball/
 - first: d-deletion [han + ball]
 - then: n-assimilation [ham + ball]

3

Ordering of rules

- Is there a “natural” order of rules?
- sometimes: **special** rule applies before **general** rule (which no longer applies)
 - relevant in phonology and morphology
 - e.g. ox-oxen, “special” rule of plural formation applies instead of (/before) general rule
 - cf. “this morning, this evening”, but not “this night”. Why?

4

Canadian English raising

- Canada: special ai and au vowels
out and about
raising = clipping

(8) /ai/-raising before voiceless C
aI → ʌI / ____ [C, -voice]

e.g. in life, rice, right

5

Canadian English

“... and with its beak loosened the bone, till at last it got it out.”

Voxforge-vf30-12 (flac file)

“but surely I was right in trying to revenge him;”

Voxforge-vf30-27 (flac file)

<http://www.voxforge.org>

6

Flapping / tapping

(7) Flapping rule:

$t, d \rightarrow \text{ɾ} / V _ V[-\text{stress}]$

e.g. *reading, letter*

but not in *Hilda, pad* or *adore*

note that [ɾ] is [+voice]

7

Unpredictable rule ordering

■ relevant rules:

(7) FLAPPING $[t, d] \rightarrow \text{ɾ} / [-\text{cons}] _ _ \left[\begin{array}{c} V \\ -\text{stress} \end{array} \right]$

(8) PRE-FORTIS CLIPPING $[+\text{voice}] \rightarrow [-\text{long}] / _ _ [-\text{voice}]$

8

Two orderings: I

■ Raising precedes Flapping

<i>write</i>	<i>writing</i>	<i>ride</i>	<i>riding</i>
/rait/	/raitɪŋ/	/raid/	/raidɪŋ/
Λ	Λ	--	--
--	ɾ	--	ɾ
/rΛit/	/rΛirɪŋ/	/raid/	/rairɪŋ/

9

Two orderings: II

■ Flapping precedes Raising

<i>write</i>	<i>writing</i>	<i>ride</i>	<i>riding</i>
/rait/	/raitɪŋ/	/raid/	/raidɪŋ/
--	ɾ	--	ɾ
Λ	--	--	--
/rΛit/	/rairɪŋ/	/raid/	/rairɪŋ/

10

Conclusion for ordering

→ if two dialects have the same two rules in opposite orders, then the order obviously cannot *always* be predicted on the basis of general principles

11

Rule ordering

■ Different types

■ "Feeding" : Rule A creates forms to which rule B applies

■ Example: English /handbɔl/
 t/d-deletion 0
 nasal assimilation m

12

Ordering types

- “Bleeding”: Rule A takes away sequences to which rule B *would have* applied
- English plural formation (/z/):
 - epenthesis of schwa after s-sounds
bleeds voicing assimilation in words like bus+es

13

Rule ordering

- Counterfeeding: Rule A *would have* fed rule B *if* they had applied in the opposite order
- Lardil: /ŋaluk/
 - B: Final lowering --
 - V → [a] at the end of the word
 - A: Non-apical deletion ∅
 - A noncoronal is deleted at the end of the word
surface [ŋalu]

14

Rule ordering

- Counterbleeding: Rule A *would have* bled rule B *if* they had applied in the opposite order
- Lardil /papi-u.ɿ/
 - B: /w/ epenthesis w
 - w inserted between Vs
 - A: vowel deletion ---
 - in VV, first one is deleted
surface form: [papiwu.ɿ]

15

Historical linguistics

- Some researchers in the past thought that languages tended to order their rules in an “efficient” way, for example in a feeding order
 - diachronic phonology, language change
- But this turned out not to be the case 😊

16

Homework

- Read chapter carefully and *think about rules*
- Section 8.5 (opacity in OT) is optional
- Exercises: Qs 76 and 77
- Thank you

18